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## Course overview

## Goal

Introduction to uncertainty modeling approaches that go beyond classical probability theory

## General information

- Elective in TU/e's Data Science \& Artificial Intelligence Master program
- Study load: circa 140 hours (5 ECTS)
- First edition in 2022-2023 Q1 (Sep-Nov)
- Students: circa 40, all familiar only with classical probability and statistics


## Learning activities

| Theory | Assignment | 'Instructions' |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| - Lectures | - Literature study (report) | • Explanation course organization |
| • Practice exercises | • Poster presentations | • Q\&A lectures, exercises, |
|  |  | assignment |

## Schedule overview

- Quartile $=8$ contact session weeks +2 exam weeks
- Contact sessions:
- 16 sessions total: 2/week, each 2 blocks of 45 minutes ( 3 hours/week)
- lectures (18 blocks); instructions (6 blocks); poster presentations (8 blocks)

- Exam: 3 hours; resit possibility during exam week of next quartile

Grade composition
50/50 for assignment/exam
Support options

- Q\&A sessions and lecture breaks • Online Forum • Direct message to lecturer


## Assignment

Goal
Understand and explain to fellow students how different uncertainty modeling approaches each can deal with a specific application topic

Application topics
-Classification •Clustering •Decision trees •Markov chains •Graphical models

## Organization



## Assessment

- Formative: Midterm (session 7-8) • Peer review by fellow students using rubrics - Summative: Final (session 14-15) - Good participation was important (24\%)

| Scale |  | Problematic Insufficient 0 points 2 points | Sufficient 3 points | Good <br> 4 points | Excellent 5 points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Structure | 7.5\% | presence and quality of structure and structuring elements (sectioning, paragraphs, lists, tables, figures) |  |  |  |
| Report Clarity | 20\% | degree to which the content is explainable by the reader based on a reading (argumentation steps, examples, illustrations) |  |  |  |
| Language | 5\% | quality of grammar, spelling, and formulation |  |  |  |
| Notation | 5\% | introduction and appropriate choice/use of formal notation |  |  |  |
| Mathematics | 7.5\% | presence, clarity, and integration of math expressions in the text |  |  |  |
| Referencing | 5\% | degree text is supported by sufficient on-topic references; completeness of entries |  |  |  |
| Poster Balance | 6.5\% | balance between text/math and illustrations/examples; suitability for live explanation (storyline, key takeaways) |  |  |  |
| Presentation | 6.5\% | degree of preparation and capability to answer questions |  |  |  |
| \#Approaches |  |  |  |  |  |

## Observations

[^0]
## Lectures, Exercises, and Exam

Goal
For each of the uncertainty modelling approaches discussed:

- know and understand the foundations \& interpretations
- obtain the skills to solve basic inference and decision problems


## Lecturing approach

- Theory lectures in classical style
- Illustrative examples mixed in
- Successful opportunities for interaction
- Students were encouraged to interrupt - Activating questions from lecturer

Lecture topics \& Uncertainty modeling approaches
2. Limitations of probability (arguments to go beyond) 3. Belief functions
4. Possibility
5. Fuzzy sets
6. 2-Monotone capacities
7. Probability intervals 8. Credal sets
9. Interval expectation ('prevision' mentioned)


Much of the actual content was inspired by materials from the SIPTA Schools

## Focus areas

Each approach is discussed in generally the same way:

- Foundations: basic concepts \& axioms • Learning models from data (sometimes)
- Interpretation • Multivariate models (often)
- Inference: obtaining values/bounds - Decision making (often)



## Practice exercises

- On-line quiz per lecture (ungraded, repeatable) • Multiple-choice and open questions - Automated feedback and model answers - Theory and calculation questions
- Students generally did not participate in a timely manner


## Exam

- 30 questions (multiple-choice and open)
- Practice exercises were mostly representative of exam questions
- Level of attainment expected on beforehand was not achieved in general



## Problems, Challenges, and Plans

Goal (for us teachers, this time)
Get feedback to improve the course in the coming years

## More attention to practice exercises

Problem Exam results showed a lower-than-aimed-for proficiency solving exercises Challenge How do we get students to make the practice exercises in a timely manner? Plan Make the practice exercises a more integrated part:

- Incentivize by making them count for the grade • Create time by removing content
- Create dedicated practice exercise Q\&A blocks But which content?


## Providing more didactic literature

Problem Reports \& poster presentations showed that many students encountered difficulties understanding the content of a good deal of the provided literature Plan Improve the list of provided literature
Challenge Where do we find a sufficiently broad set of didactically written papers?


[^0]:    - Participation was generally enthusiastic - Most pairs kept to the literature provided
    - Pairs often struggled to integrate material from papers using approaches discussed towards the end of the lecture series

